## REPLACEMENT MODELS

- The replacement policy consists of calculating the increased operating cost, forced idle time cost together with the cost of replacing with new equipment.
- Also, replacement of items such as electric bulbs,radio tubes etc which does not deteriorate with time but fail suddenly.


## MODELS:

- Replacement of items that deteriorate i.e.whose maintenance costs increase with time
- Replacement of items that deteriorate i.e.whose maintenance costs increase with time and value of money also changes
- Replacement of items that fail suddenly
-- Individual repl policy in which an item is
replaced immdly after it fails
-- Gp repl policy in which all items are replaced whether they have failed or not ith a proviso
that if any item fails before the optimal time, it may be individually replaced


## REPLACEMENT MODELS

(a) When $t$ is a continuous variable

Let, C= Capital Cost of Item
S= Scrap Value
Tavg= Avg. annual cost of item
$n=$ no. of yrs item is to be in use
$\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{t})=$ operating \& maint cost of item at time t
To find n that minimises $\mathrm{T}(\mathrm{n})=$ Total cost incurred during n years
Annual cost of item at any time $t=C-S+[f(t) d t$ 0
n
Avg annưal cost $=$ Tavg $=\frac{1}{h}\left\{(c-s)+\int_{0}^{\int} f(t) d t\right\}---(1)$

- diff wrt n (for Tavg to be min) and equating to zero
- $\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{dn}}(\operatorname{Tavg})=\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}}\left[\frac{1}{\mathrm{n}}(\mathrm{c}-\mathrm{s})\right]+\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{dn}}\left[\frac{1}{\mathrm{n}} \iint_{0}^{\mathrm{f}}(\mathrm{t}) \mathrm{dt}\right]$ n
- $=\frac{-1}{n^{2}}(c-s)+\left[\frac{f(n)}{n}-\frac{1}{n^{2}} \int_{0}^{f}(t) d t\right]=0$
- Or $\underset{n^{2}}{\underline{1}}(c-s)+\underset{n^{2}}{1} \int f(t) d t=\frac{f(n)}{n}$
$f(n)=\frac{1}{n}\left[(c-s)+\int_{0}^{n} f(t) d t\right]=$ Tavg from (1)
- $\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{n})=\underline{1}\left[(\mathrm{c}-\mathrm{s})+\int \mathrm{f}(\mathrm{t}) \mathrm{dt}\right]=$ Tavg from (1)
n
- Items should be replaced when avg annual cost becomes equal to current maint cost.
- (b) When $t$ is a discrete variable

$$
T(n)=(C-S)+\sum_{0} f(t) d t
$$

- (Total cost incurred during nyrs)
- Avg annual cost incurred on item= $\underline{1}\left[\left(C-S \sum f(t) d t\right]\right.$

Without proof we can state that n is optimal at least avg annual cost

PURCHASE PRICE $=$ Rs. $7000=\mathrm{C}$

| YEAR | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| MAINT COST | 900 | 1200 | 1600 | 2100 | 2800 | 3700 | 4700 | 5900 |
| RESALE VALUE | 4000 | 2000 | 1200 | 600 | 500 | 400 | 400 | 400 |
| WHEN SHOULD MACHINE BE REPLACED |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| YEAR | ReSALE | C-S | ANNUAL | $\Sigma \mathrm{f}(\mathrm{t})$ | T.C. | AVG ANNUAL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| OF SERVICE | VALUE |  | MAINT COST $\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{t})$ |  | $[C-S)+\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{t})]$ | COST |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | $\underline{1}\left[C-S+\sum^{n} f(t)\right]$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | n |


|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | 4000 | 3000 | 900 | 900 | 3900 | 3900 |
| 2 | 2000 | 5000 | 1200 | 2100 | 7100 | 3550 |
| 3 | 1200 | 5800 | 1600 | 3700 | 9500 | 3166.67 |
| 4 | 600 | 6400 | 2100 | 5800 | 12200 | 3050 |
| 5 | 500 | 6500 | 2800 | 8600 | 15100 | 3020 |
| 6 | 400 | 6600 | 3700 | 12300 | 18900 | 3150 |
| 7 | 400 | 6600 | 4700 | 17000 | 23600 | 3371.43 |
| 8 | 400 | 6600 | 5900 | 22900 | 29500 | 3687.50 |

MACHINE TO BE REPLACED AT END OF 5 YRS

## TIME VALUE OF MONEY IS CONSIDERED

$$
\begin{aligned}
& F=P(1+i)^{n} \quad \text { or } \quad P=\underset{(1+i)^{n}}{F} \quad F(p / f, v \%, n) \\
& (1+\mathrm{t})^{\downarrow} \downarrow \downarrow \downarrow \\
& V=\frac{1}{(1+i)} \quad \text { or } \quad V r=\quad \underset{(1+i)^{r}}{\frac{1}{1}}
\end{aligned}
$$

This is called discounting factor

| 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | $n-1$ | $n$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| R1 | R2 | R3 |  | $R n$ |  |

C= PURCHASE PRICE OF M/c. R1, R2 ---- Rn = RUNNING
COST IN $\quad 1^{\text {st }}, 2^{\text {nd }}----n^{\text {th }}$ year of machine.
PAYMENTS ARE MADE AT BEGINNING OF EACH YEAR

$$
\text { P.W. }=C+R_{1}+\frac{R_{2}}{(1+i)^{1}}+\frac{R_{3}}{(1+i)^{2}}+\cdots----\frac{R_{n}}{(1+i)^{n-1}}
$$

$=\mathrm{C}+\mathrm{R}_{1}+\mathrm{R}_{2} \mathrm{~V}+\mathrm{R}_{3} \mathrm{~V}^{2}+---. \mathrm{Rn}^{\mathrm{V}} \mathrm{V}^{-1}$

## WE CONCLUDE THAT

$$
R n+1>\quad \frac{C+R_{1}+R_{2} V+R_{3} V_{2}+-\cdots-R_{n} . V^{n-1}}{1+V+V^{2}+-\cdots---V n-1}
$$

n
$R n+1>C+\sum_{r=1} R_{r} V^{r-1}$

$$
\sum_{r=1} \mathrm{~V}^{r-1}
$$

M/c SHOULD BE REPLACED IF NEXT PERIOD COST IS GREATER THAN THE WEIGHTED AVG OF PREVIOUS COSTS


M/c SHOULD NOT BE REPLACED

COST OF MACHINE = Rs. 500
OP \& MAINT COST = 0 IN FIRST YEAR \& INCREASES BY Rs. 100 EVERY YEAR
R= $5 \%$, WHEN SHOULD THE $\mathrm{M} / \mathrm{c}$ BE REPLACED


| YR OF | MAINT | DISCOUNT | DISCOUNT | CUM. TOTAL | DIVIDING | WEIGHTED AVG |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| SERVICE | COST | FACTOR | COST | DI\$COUNTED COST | FACTOR | ANN. COST |
|  | Rr | $\mathrm{V}^{r-1}$ | $R_{r} V^{r-1}$ | $C+\sum R_{r} V^{r-1}$ | $\sum V^{r-1}$ | $C+\sum R_{r} V^{r-1}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | $V_{r=1}^{r-1}$ |  |  |


| 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 500 | 1 | 500 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | 100 | 0.9524 | 95.24 | 595.24 | 1.9524 | 304.88 |
| 3 | 200 | 0.9070 | 181.40 | 776.64 | 2.85 | 217.61 |
| 4 | 300 | 0.8638 | 259.14 | 1035.78 | 3.72 | 278.20 |
| 5 | 400 | 0.8227 | 329.08 | 1364.86 | 4.54 | 300.28 |
| MACHINE SHOULD BE REPLACED AFTER $3^{\text {rd }}$ YR AS $300>217.61$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |




When does indiv replacement become more economical.
Let $x$ be the gp replacement price for the bulb
Then, Rs $207<\underline{100 * x+9(7+12+14+21)}$
4
Or $x>3.42$
The replacement cost per bulb in gp repl policy should be greater than Rs 3.42.In such case indiv repl policy is more economical
\#The following mortality rates have been observed in an installation of 1000 bulbs:

End of week:

$$
\begin{array}{llllll}
1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 6
\end{array}
$$

Prob of failure: . 09.25 . 49 . 85 . 97 1.00
Indiv prob
. 09.16 . 24.36 . 03
Find the cost of

- Individual replacement
- Group replacement
- At what gp replacement price per bulb would individual replacement become preferable

Let $\mathrm{Ni}=$ no.of replacements made at end of ith week.

No = 1000
N1 $=$ Noxpi $=1000 x .09=90$
N2 = Noxp2 +N1p1 = 1000x.16+90x.09= 168
N3 =Noxp3+N1XP2+N2P1=1000X.24+90X. 16
+168X. $09=269$
N4=Noxp4+N1Xp3+N2Xp2+N3Xp1
$=1000 x .36+90 x .24+168 x .16+269 x .09=432$
N5=Noxp5+N1xp4+N2Xp3+N3Xp2+N4Xp1 =
$=274$
N6=Noxp6+N1xp5+N2xp4+N3Xp3+N4Xp2+N5xp1
= 1000x.03+90x. $12+168 x .36+269 x .24+432 x .16+$
$274 x .09=260$
N7= Noxp7+N1xp6+N2xp5+N3xp4+N4Xp3+N5xp2 $+N 6 X p 1=1000 x 0+90 x .03+168 x .12+269 x .36+432 x$
$.24+274 x .16+260 x .09=291$
No. of bulbs failing increase till the $4^{\text {th }}$ week then decreases and increases again from $7^{\text {th }}$ week onwards. Thus N will continue to oscillate till a steady state is reached

## Optimal gp replacement interval

End of week Total cost of gp repl Avg cost/week
1 $1000 x .70+90 \times 3=970$ 970

2
1000x. $70+(90+168) \times 3=1474$
737
3 $1000 \times .7+(90+168+269) \times 3=2281760.33$

4 1000x. $7+(90+168+269+432) \times 3=3577894.25$
As the avg minimum cost is in $2^{\text {nd }}$ week, it is optimal to have gp replacement after every two weeks

Individual Replacement policy:
Avg (expected)life of light bulbs $=\sum i p_{i}$
$=1 x .09+2 x .16+3 x .24+4 x .36+5 x .12+6 x .03=3.35 w k s$
Avg no. of failures per week $=1000 / 3.35=299$
Cost of indiv repl of bulbs per week = $299 \times 3=$ Rs 897
Cost of gp repl per week = Rs 737
It is advisable to adopt the policy of group
replacement

At what cost of gp repl policy will indiv repl become economical
Let y be the gp repl cost per bulb
Rs $897<\underline{1000 * y+3(90+168)}$
2
or $\mathrm{y}>$ Rs 1.02

